IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE SECOND DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MS JUSTICE G.ROHINI

WRIT PETITION NO : 3310 of 2005

Between:

Sanjeevaiah Welfare Association a registered society bearing Reg.No.3075, rep.by its president, Sri K.V.Rama Seshan,
having its office at 12, Balaji Enclave, Transport Road,
Secunderabad

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 Govt.of Andhra Pradesh, rep.by its Secretary, Revenue, Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad.
2 The Chief Commissioner, Land Administration, Nampally, Hyderabad.
3 District Collector, Hyderabad District.
4 Revenue Divisional officer, Secunderabad.
5 Mandal Revenue officer, Trimulgherry Mandal, Secunderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue any appropriate writ, order or direction preferably a writ in the nature of Mandamus, declaring the inaction of the responden No.4 in not passing orders in File NO.B/813/2002 in respect of the land admeasuring Ac.4.30 acres situated in survey No.81, Bowenpally, Thokatta Village, Trimulgherry Mandal, Hyderabad District belonging to the petitioner society, as being arbitrary and violative of Article 14 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondent No.4 to forthwith pass orders in the said Appeal.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.P.VENUGOPAL

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR REVENUE

This Court at the admission stage made the following :

O R D E R:

This writ petition is filed seeking a Mandamus to declare the alleged inaction on the part of the fourth respondent in passing orders in file No.B/813/2002 in respect of the land admeasuring Ac.4-30 cents situated in Survey No.81, Bowenpally, Thokatta Village, Trimulgherry Mandal, Hyderabad District, as arbitrary and illegal.

As can be seen from the material on record, the fifth respondent, the Mandal Revenue Officer by order dated 04-12-2001 rejected the claim of the petitioner society for sanction of mutation in respect of the land in Sy.No.81 of Bowenpally Village. Against the said order, the petitioner preferred an Appeal before the fourth respondent, the Revenue Divisional Officer.

The only grievance of the petitioner is that the fourth respondent failed to dispose of the said appeal pending in File No.B/813/2002 and no orders have been passed so far. Hence, this writ petition.

The learned Government Pleader for Revenue, under instructions, states that the arguments in the said appeal were already heard and the appeal is likely to be disposed of shortly.

In the circumstances, without going into the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition with a direction to the fourth respondent to dispose of the appeal pending on his file as

expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs.

_____

(**G. ROHINI, J**)

Date: 02-03-2005

ghn

To

1 The Secretary, Govt.of Andhra Pradesh, Revenue, Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad.
2 The Chief Commissioner, Land Administration, Nampally, Hyderabad.
3 The District Collector, Hyderabad District.
4 The Revenue Divisional officer, Secunderabad.
5 The Mandal Revenue officer, Trimulgherry Mandal, Secunderabad.

6 Two CCs to the Government Pleader for Revenue, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad. (O.U.T)

7 Two CD copies.